Davi	i A	Crow	O 141	rote:
Davi	ıu	Crow	e w	rote:

- > Anthony;
- > I am not exactly sure why you are troubled by the three documents that you make available,

This opening statement is plainly untrue.

In truth Crowe is 'exactly sure'.

He well appreciates that 'the three documents that [I] make available' are real evidence of:

- 1. a fraudulent written misrepresentation he made to a bank that Alberta Greens was an incorporated political party when it wasn't;
- 2. illegal loans he made to the party, without the knowledge of all members of the Alberta Greens board, from which he profited in interest levied;
- 3. a false statement he made to the Alberta Greens auditor concealing these illegal loans.

Whether he will be prosecuted for these crimes remains to be seen.

Like any criminal accused exercising his right not to enter the witness stand and testify in his own defence, Crowe elected not to answer the detailed prima facie case set up by Paul Last on the Greens in Alberta Facebook page. In the court of public opinion this amounted to taking the 5th and taking his chances with what negative conclusions might be drawn from this decision.

The three documents (in fact some are composites) relate to and support only some of the many complaints against Crowe of illegal, unconstitutional, manipulative, dishonest and other improper conduct while on the Alberta Greens board.

- > but let me try to explain them.
- > 1. The loan agreement: www.tig.org.za/Greens\_loans.pdf
- > I signed this as CFO of the party using an electronic signature, something that is perfectly legal in Canada (see, for example, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electronic\_signature).

No one has suggested that his signature was fake. On the contrary we are quite satisfied he signed. So why does he toss out this red-herring in this protesting too much manner?

> Alberta election legislation was designed, we believe, due to a unique circumstance, government ownership of a bank (Alberta Treasury Branches). The law, intended to exclude loans from this bank, may have inadvertently excluded loans from any organization except a bank. This is not clear, but could have easily been fixed. At the very most the loans could have been considered an accounting error, worthy of a note explaining the circumstances and how they were resolved, in the 2008 audited financial statements that, by now, we all know that Joe Anglin failed to provide, despite having all the information that he needed.

Readers can decide for themselves whether the illegal loans made without the knowledge of all other members of the board, from which he made a secret personal profit, could 'At the very most ... have been considered an accounting error.'

> I would like to clarify one related point. Joe Anglin, the leader of the executive of the Alberta Greens after the hostile takeover, did have all documents necessary to do financial reporting. He has referred to a "Trial Balance" file. This was a PDF file that was only provided to Joe Anglin in a ZIP archive that Joe Anglin claims that he did not have the password to open. Clearly, therefore, this is a lie. He could not have accessed the PDF file without also downloading the MYOB accounting database because they were in the same ZIP archive. The MYOB file provided details of every financial transaction in 2008, names and addresses of all members and donors, and everything else necessary to do financial reporting and to produce tax receipts.

I pointedly avoided canvassing the dispute about the handover of financial papers beyond a passing reference to it precisely because of the controversy over it. It is therefore irrelevant for present purposes, and another red herring. But readers will have noted Paul Last's thorough investigation of the issue, and his finding against Crowe (www.tig.org/Greens.htm).

- > 2. The declaration to the auditor: www.tig.org.za/Greens\_misrepresentation\_to\_Doyle.pdf
- > The audit in question was for the 2008 election. Another audit should have been done for the year 2008, but the new executive (Joe Anglin et al) failed to do this, resulting in deregistration of the party. It is this annual audit that would have included the loans. We were told by the Director of Finances for Elections Alberta at the time, Ried Zittlau, to use discretion regarding whether to include items in the election report or leave it for the annual report. For example, donations from people on the party's monthly giving plan were considered as annual donations, not election donations, even when they occurred during the election period. The loans and repayments extended significantly outside the election period so it was more sensible to include them on the annual report. The simple relationship between the reports is that if an item is included on the election report it is not included in the annual report (and vice-versa), therefore every item is reported exactly once. There is very little difference in practice to where items are reported beyond allowing

Elections Alberta to report statistics for the election period (how much each party raised and spent, for example).

## Paul Last records:

'On February 7, 2009 in a meeting with Elections Alberta, Lorne Gibson's, the Chief Electoral Officer, legal counsel informed Anglin that two loans, one made by Stratton and the other by Crowe, on February 8, 2008 violated the Election Finances and Contributions Disclosure Act and are cause to recommend prosecution. The loans raised additional concerns after close examination of the loan documents revealed that Crowe approved his own loan by authorizing the contract with altered signatures. Anglin claims this in itself is not illegal but it raises serious questions about motive. Crowe also approved Stratton's loan.

'I read a letter where the new executive was advised by its counsel that repayment authorized and effected by the present executive would condone the earlier act of the Party borrowing from Crow and Stratton. Kurata further advised that the repayment of the outstanding debt to Crowe and Stratton, when it is ascertained, is a matter which the Chief Electoral Officer must deliberate upon, and the determination of repayment is a matter wholly beyond the discretion of the current Party executive.

'Statements provided by two former executive members confirm that there was no approval process conducted to approve the loans and neither member was aware that 7% interest was being paid out to Crowe and Stratton. I was specifically asked not to disclose the names of the former two executives.

'Anglin says the loans created even further complications for the party when they became the object of a preliminary criminal investigation. I was shown documents that revealed that the loans were not disclosed on the 2008 election's return and that signed "Statements of Disclosure" by Susan Stratton and David Crowe; addressed to the Auditor Michael L. Doyle, raise significant questions regarding the accuracy of the financial information being presented for audit.'

- > 3. Incorporation (www.tig.org.za/Greens\_incorporation.pdf)
- > This illustrates some of the truly bizarre ideas of Joe Anglin. The Green Party of Alberta had existed for 19 years and there had never been any questions about its status (until Joe Anglin destroyed it in 2009). Yet, in late 2008, instead of worrying about preparing the financial reports for that year, Joe Anglin was obsessed with proving that the party's status was irregular.
- >The legislation in place in 1990 when the party was registered required that the party originate from a society and, indeed, this happened. At the time of the creation of the party it was necessary for the society to hold all assets. This prevented the creation of a party with untrackable funds.

None of the above waffling comes close to addressing the charge that Crowe made a fraudulent written misstatement in which he misrepresented the Albert Greens to a bank as an incorporated party.

- > 4. The existence of Mary Martin and Paul Last
- > This is actually a really important point. Sometimes there is no problem with a pseudonym, it all dependents on the circumstances. In this case, however, the veracity of what they have published relies on their claim that they are independent of the clique that took over and destroyed the Alberta Greens. If they are using false names to disguise their identity as one of the clique then they have been proven dishonest. At the very least, even if they are real people, they are clearly associated with the Anglin/Jensen/Erickson clique, as shown by the Facebook link between Paul Last and Edwin Erickson.

This is standard Crowe-speak, standard diversionary trickery.

Mary Martin has repudiated the suggestion she's a fake who doesn't exist; see the penultimate post archived at www.tig.org.za/Rethinking\_'Rethinking\_AIDS'\_at\_AME.html .

Paul Last has deferred my further enquiries to Anglin, urging me to 'go directly to source'.

To smear Last as a biased Anglin partisan is easy, but the tenor of the Facebook discussion thread he started suggests otherwise to me. He didn't begin with any prejudices and he shows this.

- > I should report that I have twice sent messages to Paul Last challenging him to prove his existence as a real human being, suggesting that he, for example, give me a phone call. I received the following somewhat hysterical message back:
- > "You are a phoney and and a fraud! I have now seen first hand what you have done to the Alberta Greens. It's a crying shame! I imagine at one time the work you did was well intentioned and admirable. But I listened to your conversation with Ms Jensen, and I know the truth."

Paul Last's disgust may be explained by the following:

'Last but not least Anglin provided me with a tape recorded telephone conversation between Jensen and Crowe dated November 12, 2008 at 11:03 PM. The conversation references an earlier conversation that took place at 7:56 AM from Crowe to Jensen.

'The recording I listened to lasted approximately 20 minutes. I am speechless to comment on what I heard and how Crowe so casually explained away the contents of the phone conversation previously on this Facebook page. The conversation I listen to centered on the topic of extortion and blackmail. I did not hear one word discussing any offers for possible negotiations. I listen to this tape recording three different times.'

Mary Martin's response to what she heard Crowe say was substantially identical:

'Having been taken aback by Mr. Crowe's response regarding a possible threatening telephone call last November to party president Ms. Jensen, I have stayed quiet until I could learn for myself the

nature of his telephone call. Mr. Crowe, you said that you called Ms. Jensen to try to negotiate. I have spoken with Ms. Jensen. A five minute call at approximately 8:00 a.m. in which you read to her some wild, defamatory statements about Joe Anglin; in which you threatened to take these statements to the Calgary Herald for them to "research; " in which you gave Ms. Jensen and Joe Anglin 24 hours to withdraw their legal action against Ms. Stratton and George Read in order to avoid your taking that information to the Herald; and finally, which you terminated by hanging up on Ms. Jensen. Please, Mr. Crowe. How is this "negotiation?" Ms. Jensen, btw, immediately filed a report with the Calgary Police and later that day returned the call to you, acting on advice she received. I have heard that call. Her call to you lasted about 20 minutes, and was not terminated by her hanging up on you. However, it does corroborate the threats you made to her in the morning. In your response regarding this issue, you stated something to the effect that you knew your attempts at "negotiation" would be used against you. In the business, they probably have several terms for the kind of spin you are attempting here. "Passive aggressive" comes to mind. The other thing that comes to mind is that if you are willing to play with the truth so blatantly concerning your telephone call, what other truths are you stretching?'

Why would Paul Last want to ring up and have a telephone conversation with a person like this? So they can be threatened, blackmailed, secretly tape recorded with a misleadingly edited version being put up on the internet?

> I appreciate everyone's interest in the election legislation of Alberta. If anyone would like a copy of the two laws that govern most aspects of elections and political parties in Alberta, I would be happy to provide them.

In truth not a single member of this forum has expressed any 'interest in the election legislation of Alberta', let alone 'everyone'. The lies gush out.

- > Perhaps, however, people could use their time more productively by discussing issues of greater relevance.
- > Regards,
- > David Crowe

Right now Crowe is the subject of the discussion and will continue to be until he leaves.

AB